12 LC Speaking and Listening Rubric / (Total points)

| **Criteria** | **4 – Responses at this Level:** | **3 – Responses at this Level:** | **2 – Responses at this Level:** | **1 – Responses at this Level:** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Command of Evidence and Reasoning**  **The extent to which the speaker demonstrates preparation for the discussion by explicitly drawing on evidence from texts and/or other research on the topic or issue to stimulate a thoughtful, well-reasoned exchange of ideas.**  **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.SL.11-12.1**  Initiate and participate effectively in a range of collaborative discussions (one-on-one, in groups, and teacher-led) with diverse partners on *grades 11–12 topics*, *texts*, *and issues*, building on others’ ideas and expressing their own clearly and persuasively.  **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.SL.11-12.1.a**  Come to discussions prepared, having read and researched material under study; explicitly draw on that preparation by referring to evidence from texts and other research on the topic or issue to stimulate a thoughtful, well-reasoned exchange of ideas.  **The extent to which the speaker propels conversations by posing and responding to questions that probe reasoning and evidence; ensures a hearing for a full range of positions on a topic or issue; clarifies, verifies, or challenges ideas and conclusions; and promotes divergent and creative perspectives.**  **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.SL.11-12.1.c**  Propel conversations by posing and responding to questions that probe reasoning and evidence; ensure a hearing for a full range of positions on a topic or issue; clarify, verify, or challenge ideas and conclusions; and promote divergent and creative perspectives. | Demonstrate thorough preparation for the discussion by explicitly drawing on precise and sufficient evidence from texts and/or other research on the topic or issue to stimulate a thoughtful, well-reasoned exchange of ideas. (SL.11-12.1.a)  Skillfully propel conversations by consistently posing and responding to questions that probe reasoning and evidence; actively ensure a hearing for a full range of positions on a topic or issue; consistently clarify, verify, or challenge ideas and conclusions; and actively promote divergent and creative perspectives. (SL.11-12.1.c) | Demonstrate preparation for the discussion by explicitly drawing on relevant and sufficient evidence from texts and/or other research on the topic or issue to stimulate a thoughtful, well-reasoned exchange of ideas. (SL.11-12.1.a)  Propel conversations by posing and responding to questions that probe reasoning and evidence; ensure a hearing for a full range of positions on a topic or issue; clarify, verify, or challenge ideas and conclusions; and promote divergent and creative perspectives. (SL.11-12.1.c) | Demonstrate partial preparation for the discussion by inconsistently drawing on relevant or sufficient evidence from texts and/or other research on the topic or issue, occasionally stimulating a thoughtful, well-reasoned exchange of ideas. (SL.11-12.1.a)  Somewhat effectively propel conversations by inconsistently posing and responding to questions that probe reasoning and evidence; occasionally ensure a hearing for a full range of positions on a topic or issue; inconsistently clarify, verify, or challenge ideas and conclusions; and occasionally promote divergent and creative perspectives. (SL.11-12.1.c) | Demonstrate a lack of preparation for the discussion by rarely drawing on relevant or sufficient evidence from texts and/or other research on the topic or issue, rarely stimulating a thoughtful or well-reasoned exchange of ideas. (SL.11-12.1.a)  Ineffectively propel conversations by rarely posing or responding to questions that probe reasoning and evidence; rarely ensure a hearing for a full range of positions on a topic or issue; rarely clarify, verify, or challenge ideas and conclusions; and prevent divergent and creative perspectives. (SL.11-12.1.c) |
| **Collaboration and Presentation**  **The extent to which the speaker works with peers to promote civil, democratic discussions and decision-making, setting clear goals and deadlines and establishing individual roles as needed.**  **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.SL.11-12.1**  Initiate and participate effectively in a range of collaborative discussions (one-on-one, in groups, and teacher-led) with diverse partners on *grades 11–12 topics*, *texts*, *and issues*, building on others’ ideas and expressing their own clearly and persuasively.  **The extent to which the speaker responds to diverse perspectives; synthesizes comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue; resolves contradictions when possible; and determines what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task.**  **CCSS.ELA-Literacy.SL.11-12.1.d**  Respond thoughtfully to diverse perspectives; synthesize comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue; resolve contradictions when possible; and determine what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task. | Skillfully respond to diverse perspectives; skillfully synthesize comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue; frequently resolve contradictions when possible; and precisely determine what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task. (SL.11-12.1.d) | Effectively respond to diverse perspectives; accurately synthesize comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue; resolve contradictions when possible; and accurately determine what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task. (SL.11-12.1.d) | Somewhat effectively respond to diverse perspectives; with partial accuracy, synthesize comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue; occasionally resolve contradictions when possible; and determine with partial accuracy what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task. (SL.11-12.1.d) | Ineffectively respond to diverse perspectives; inaccurately synthesize comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue; rarely resolve contradictions when possible; and inaccurately determine what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task. (SL.11-12.1.d) |

* A response that is a personal response and makes little or no reference to the task or text can be scored no higher than a 1.
* A response that is totally copied from the text with no original writing must be given a 0.
* A response that is totally unrelated to the task, illegible, incoherent, blank, or unrecognizable as English must be scored as a 0.

12 ALT 2 Speaking and Listening Checklist

**Assessed Standards:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Does my response…** | **✔** |
| **Command of Evidence and Reasoning** | Explicitly draw on evidence from texts and/or other research on the topic or issue? **(SL.11-12.1.a)** | □ |
|  | Pose and respond to questions that probe reasoning and evidence? **(SL.11-12.1.c)** | □ |
|  | Ensure a hearing for a full range of positions on a topic or issue? **(SL.11-12.1.c)** | □ |
|  | Clarify, verify, or challenge ideas and conclusions? **(SL.11-12.1.c)** | □ |
|  | Promote divergent and creative perspectives? **(SL.11-12.1.c)** | □ |
| **Collaboration and Presentation** | Respond to diverse perspectives? **(SL.11-12.1.d)** | □ |
|  | Synthesize comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue? **(SL.11-12.1.d)** | □ |
|  | Resolve contradictions when possible? **(SL.11-12.1.d)** | □ |
|  | Determine what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task? **(SL.11-12.1.d)** | □ |